## **Department of Defense**

# Verification, Validation and Accreditation (VV&A) Recommended Practices Guide

November 1996

Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering Defense Modeling and Simulation Office

#### MEMORANDUM FOR: GENERAL DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Verification, Validation, and Accreditation (VV&A)
Recommended Practices Guide

I commend to you the attached guide which provides background and information on principles, processes, and techniques which are recommended for use in DoD VV&A efforts which support program initiatives in the analysis, acquisition, and training communities.

These guidelines reflect a year-long study of Service directives and VV&A techniques from government, industry and academia. An integrated team of DoD-recognized VV&A experts authored the Guide and obtained informal coordination throughout its development from contributors across DoD.

The guide will continue development during Fiscal Year 1997 to include more detailed guidance for VV&A efforts performed to support modeling and simulation in the three functional areas of analysis, acquisition, and training.

Please call Mrs. Priscilla Glasow, DMSO Technical Support Staff, at 703-824-3412, or complete the evaluation form at the back of this document if you have any questions or suggestions for improvement.

James W. Hollenbach Captain, U.S. Navy Director, Defense Modeling and Simulation Office

## **Contents**

Acron	ym Lis	t	xi
Meet	the Autl	hors	xiii
Makir	ng the B	sest Use of This Document	xv
Chap	ter 1C(	Overview	1-1
1.1		re	
1.2		is VV&A?	
	1.2.1	Terminology	
		Verification Basics	
	1.2.3	Validation Basics	
	1.2.4		
1.3	•	Do VV&A?	
	1.3.1	Increased Confidence in M&S Use	
	1.3.2	Reduced Risk of M&S Use	
	1.3.3	Increased M&S Usability for Future Applications	
	1.3.4	Cost Containment	1-12
	1.3.5	Better Analysis	1-13
	1.3.6	Satisfaction of Policy Requirements	
	1.3.7	Benefits Summary	1-14
1.4	Where	e Does VV&A Fit in the Scheme of M&S?	1-15
1.5	Comn	non Misperceptions About VV&A	1-17
	1.5.1	VV&A Is No Substitute for Sound Analysis	1-17
	1.5.2	Accreditation Is Not a One-Size-Fits All Check in the Box	1-17
	1.5.3	VV&A Is Never Completed	1-18
1.6	Some	Practical Considerations	1-19
	1.6.1	Scoping and Cost	1-19
		1.6.1.1 Exorcising the Cost Demon	1-19
		1.6.1.2 Trading Off Cost and Credibility or Risk Reduction	1-20
		1.6.1.2.1 Application Analysis	1-21
		1.6.1.2.2 Acceptance Criteria Definition	
		1.6.1.3 Selecting V&V Tasks	1-22
		1.6.1.4 Accounting for Uncertainty	
		1.6.1.5 Scoping and Cost Conclusions	1-25
	1.6.2	Key Players, Roles, and Functions	
	1.6.3	The Importance of Configuration Management	
	1.6.4	Credibility of M&S Data	
1.7	Roadr	nap to This Guide	
Endno		•	1-31

Chap	ter 2CPrinciples	2-1
2.1	Principle 1: There is no such thing as an absolutely valid model	2-1
2.2	Principle 2: VV&A should be an integral part of the entire M&S life cycle.	
2.3	Principle 3: A well-formulated problem is essential to the acceptability and	
2.3	accreditation of M&S results	
2.4	Principle 4: Credibility can be claimed only for the intended use of the	2 .
2. 1	model or simulation and for the prescribed conditions under which the	
	model or simulation has been tested	2-5
2.5	Principle 5: M&S validation does not guarantee the credibility and	2
2.3	acceptability of analytical results derived from the use of simulation	2-6
2.6	Principle 6: V&V of each submodel or federate does not imply overall simulation.	
2.0	or federation credibility and vice versa	
2.7	Principle 7: Accreditation is not a binary choice	
2.8	Principle 8: VV&A is both an art and a science, requiring creativity and	2
2.0	insight	2-8
2.9	Principle 9: The success of any VV&A effort is directly affected by the	2 0
2.7	analyst	2-8
2.10	Principle 10: VV&A must be planned and documented	
2.11	Principle 11: V&V requires some level of independence to minimize the	2
2.11	effects of developer bias	2-9
2.12	Principle 12: Successful VV&A requires data that has been verified,	2
2.12	validated, and certified	2-10
Refer	ences	
	ional Reading	
1 Iddit	Total Reading.	2 11
Chan	oter 3CProcesses	3-1
Chap		
3.1	Background and Overview	3-1
	3.1.1 M&S Classes	3-1
	3.1.2 M&S Functional Areas	3-2
	3.1.3 M&S Implementation Types	3-3
3.2	Definitions	3-3
3.3	The M&S Life Cycle	3-3
	3.3.1 Process Description	3-3
	3.3.1.1 Use Available Model or Simulation	3-5
	3.3.1.2 Modify an Existing Model or Simulation	3-5
	3.3.1.2.1 Determine Modification Requirements	3-6
	3.3.1.2.2 Plan Modifications	3-6
	3.3.1.2.3 Design Modifications	3-7

		3.3.1.2.4	Implement Modifications	3-7
		3.3.1.2.5	Prepare Application	3-7
		3.3.1.2.6	Use Model or Simulation and Integrate	
			Results	3-7
		3.3.1.2.7	Present and Record Results	3-7
		3.3.1.3 Develop a New	Model or Simulation	3-8
	3.3.2		radigms	
			lopment Cycle	
			ment Cycle	
		3.3.2.3 High-Level Arc	chitecture Federation Development	
			Process	3-11
		3.3.2.4 Distributed Inte	ractive Simulation Exercise Development	
		]	Process	3-15
		3.3.2.4.1	Plan Exercise and Develop Requirements	3-15
		3.3.2.4.2	Design, Construct, and Test the Exercise	3-16
		3.3.2.4.3	Conduct the Exercise	3-17
		3.3.2.4.4	Conduct the Post-Exercise Activity	3-17
		3.3.2.4.5	Provide Results to Decision Makers	3-17
		3.3.2.5 Aggregate-Leve	el Simulation Protocol Exercise	
		]	Development Process	3-17
3.4	The V	V&A Process in the M&	¢Տ Life Cycle	3-17
	3.4.1	Process Description		3-18
		3.4.1.1 Determine VV	&A Requirements	3-19
		3.4.1.2 Initiate VV&A	Planning	3-19
		3.4.1.3 V&V the Conc	eptual Model	3-19
		3.4.1.4 V&V the Design	ŗn	3-20
		3.4.1.5 V&V the Imple	ementation	3-20
		3.4.1.6 V&V the Appli	cation	3-20
		3.4.1.7 Perform Accep	tability Assessment	3-20
	3.4.2			
	3.4.3	VV&A As Applied to	High-Level Architecture	3-21
	3.4.4	Migration of the Gener	ric VV&A Process to Different Types of	
		Applications		3-24
		3.4.4.1 Legacy M&S	3-24	
		3.4.4.2 New M&S		3-25
			eractive Simulation	
		3.4.4.3.1	Develop VV&A Plans	3-26
		3.4.4.3.2	Verify Standards	
		3.4.4.3.3	Perform Conceptual Model Validation	
		3.4.4.3.4	Perform Architectural Design Verification	
		3.4.4.3.5	Perform Detailed Design Validation	
		3.4.4.3.6	Perform Compatibility Verification	

		3.4.4.3.7 Perform Exercise Validation3-	27
		3.4.4.3.8 Perform Accreditation3-27	,
		3.4.4.3.9 Prepare VV&A Reports3-27	,
		3.4.4.4 Aggregate-Level Simulation Protocol3-27	
Chap	oter 4C	Techniques4-1	
4.1	Verifi	cation and Validation Techniques4-1	
	4.1.1	•	
		4.1.1.1 Audit4-3	
		4.1.1.2 Desk Checking4-3	
		4.1.1.3 Face Validation4-3	
		4.1.1.4 Inspections 4-4	
		4.1.1.5 Reviews4-5	
		4.1.1.6 Turing Test4-6	)
		4.1.1.7 Walkthroughs 4-6	
	4.1.2	Static V&V Techniques4-7	
		4.1.2.1 Cause-Effect Graphing4-7	,
		4.1.2.2 Control Analysis4-7	,
		4.1.2.3 Data Analysis 4-8	
		4.1.2.4 Fault/Failure Analysis4-9	)
		4.1.2.5 Interface Analysis	)
		4.1.2.6 Semantic Analysis4-10	)
		4.1.2.7 Structural Analysis4-10	)
		4.1.2.8 Symbolic Evaluation	
		4.1.2.9 Syntax Analysis4-12	,
		4.1.2.10 Traceability Assessment4-12	,
	4.1.3	Dynamic V&V Techniques4-12	,
		4.1.3.1 Acceptance Testing4-13	
		4.1.3.2 Alpha Testing 4-13	
		4.1.3.3 Assertion Checking4-13	,
		4.1.3.4 Beta Testing 4-15	
		4.1.3.5 Bottom-Up Testing4-15	
		4.1.3.6 Comparison Testing4-16	,
		4.1.3.7 Compliance Testing4-16	,
		4.1.3.8 Debugging4-17	,
		4.1.3.9 Execution Testing4-17	1
		4.1.3.10 Fault/Failure Insertion Testing4-18	í
		4.1.3.11 Field Testing	í
		4.1.3.12 Functional Testing4-19	)
		4.1.3.13 Graphical Comparison4-19	)

	4.1.3.1	Interface Testing	4-20
	4.1.3.15	Object-Flow Testing	4-21
	4.1.3.16	Partition Testing	4-22
	4.1.3.17	Predictive Validation	4-22
	4.1.3.18	Product Testing	4-22
	4.1.3.19	Regression Testing	4-23
	4.1.3.20	Sensitivity Analysis	1-23
	4.1.3.21	Special Input Testing	
	4.1.3.22	Statistical Techniques	
	4.1.3.23	Structural Testing	
	4.1.3.24	Submodule/Module Testing	
	4.1.3.25	Symbolic Debugging	
	4.1.3.26	Top-Down Testing	
	4.1.3.27	Visualization/Animation	
		&V Techniques	
4.2		sing the V&V Techniques	
Refe	ences		4-41
Addi	tional Reading		4-46
Char	oter 5CAccreditati	on	5-1
1			
5.1	Definition and Ba	ackground	5-1
5.2	Accreditation's R	ole in the Overall Application Process	5-2
5.3			
	5.3.1 Accredita	tion Requirements	5-4
	5.3.2 Accredita	tion Planning	5-8
	5.3.3 Accredita	tion Plan Execution	5-8
	5.3.4 Acceptab	lity Assessment	5-8
	5.3.5 Accredita	tion	5-9
	5.3.6 Accredita	tion Process Tailoring	5-10
5.4	Roles		5-10
5.5	Summary5-11		
Chap	oter 6CVV&A Cor	nmon Reporting Formats	6-1
6.1	Introduction		<i>6</i> 1
0.1			
6.2	VV&A Reports i	n the M&S Application Life Cycle	6-2

V&V Recommended Practices Guide Evaluation Form

## List of Figures

1-1	VV&A in the Application Process (Scheme of Things)	1-15
1-2	The Certainty-Uncertainty Continuum	1-25
2-1	Model Credibility versus Cost and Utility	2-2
3-1	The M&S Cube	
3-2	The M&S Life Cycle	
3-3	The Waterfall Development Cycle	
3-4	The Spiral Development Cycle	
3-5	The HLA Federation Development Process	
3-6	The DIS Exercise Management and Feedback Life Cycle	
3-7	The Generic VV&A Process	
3-8	The VV&A Process in the HLA Federation Development Life Cycle	
3-9	The VV&A Process in the Legacy M&S Life Cycle	
3-10 3-11	The VV&A Process in the New M&S Life Cycle  The VV&A Process in the DIS Exercise Management and Feedback	3-23
3-11	Life Cycle	3 26
3-12	The VV&A Process in the ALSP Life Cycle	
	- 110	20
4-1	A Taxonomy of Verification and Validation Techniques	4-2
4-2	Visual Simulation of Global Air Traffic Control and Satellite	
	Communication	4-14
4-3	Model and System Characteristics	4-28
5-1	VV&A in the Application Process (Scheme of Things)	5-3
5-2	Process to Support Accreditation	
_		
6-1	VV&A Reports in the M&S Application Life Cycle	6-3
	List of Tables	
1-1	Typical VV&A Responsibilities	1-28
4-1	Statistical Techniques Proposed for Validation	4-26
4-2	Applicability of the V&V Techniques Throughout the M&S Life Cyc	le4-37
5-1	Development Factors to Consider	5-6

5-2	Use Factors to Consider	5-6
5-3	Operational Environment Factors to Consider	5-7
5-4	Personnel Requirements	5-11
6-1	VV&A Acceptability Criteria Report Format	6-6
6-2	Accreditation Plan Format	6-7
6-3	Verification and Validation Plan Format	6-10
6-4	Verification and Validation Report Format	6-13
6-5	Acceptability Assessment Report Format	6-14
6-6	Accreditation Report Format	6-17

### **Acronym List**

AAR after action review

ALSP Aggregate-Level Simulation Protocol

ANSI/IEEE American National Standards Institute/Institute of Electrical and

**Electronics Engineers** 

AR Army Regulation

CDR Critical Design Reviews
c.i. confidence interval
CINCs Commanders in Chief

C/M Configuration Management

CMMS Conceptual Models of the Mission Space

CPU central processing unit

DARPA Defense Advance Research Projects Agency

DIS Distributed Interactive Simulation

DLA Defense Logistics Agency

DMSO Defense Modeling and Simulation Office

DoD Department of Defense

DoDD Department of Defense Directive

DoDDI Department of Defense Directives and Instructions

DoDI Department of Defense Instruction

ECM electronic countermeasures

EMF exercise management and feedback

FOMs Federation Object Models

FRED Federation Required Execution Details

HLA High-Level Architecture

IAC Information Analysis Center

IPR In-Process Reviews

IST Institute for Simulation Training

IV&V Independent Verification and Validation

JTC Joint Training Confederation

M&S Modeling and Simulation MOEs Measures of Effectiveness MOMs Measures of Merit (MOMs encompass MOEs, MOOs, and

MOPs)

MOOs Measures of Outcome

MOPs Measures of Performance

MORS Military Operations Research Society

m.r.a. model range of accuracy

MSRR Modeling and Simulation Resource Repository

PDR Preliminary Design Reviews

PDU Protocol Data Units

ROI return on investment RTI Run Time Infrastructure

s.c.i. simultaneous confidence intervalsSEI Software Engineering Institute

SIMNET Simulator Networking

SMART Susceptibility Model Assessment with Range Test

SME subject matter experts
SOMs Simulation Object Models

STRICOM Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation Command

T&E test and evaluation

UJTL Uniform Joint Task List

V&V Verification and Validation

VV&A Verification, Validation, and Accreditation VV&C Verification, Validation, and Certification

#### **Meet the Authors**

In early 1995, the Defense Modeling and Simulation Office (DMSO) formed a VV&A Technical Support Team to develop and write a guide of recommended VV&A practices for the Department of Defense. The VV&A Technical Support Team was initially formulated to include representation of early DoD experience in federating models and simulations, such as legacy models, Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS), and new model developments. Subsequent additions to team membership ensured informal representation of the military services, academia, and industry. The Guide as written today successfully incorporates existing directives and guidelines available from all of these sources.

This section provides the reader with brief descriptions of the authors and their credentials in the VV&A community.

Mrs. Priscilla A. Glasow, the Guide's Editor, originated the concept of a Technical Support Team to author a guidelines document to meet the requirements of the DoD Modeling and Simulation Master Plan. She successfully organized and led a team of proven VV&A experts from all defense communities, providing clear management as DMSO's direct representative and herself authoring significant portions of key chapters. Mrs. Glasow is a Senior Systems Analyst with Science Applications International Corporation and serves on DMSO's Technical Support Staff.

Dr. Paul Muessig of the Susceptibility Model Assessment and Range Test (SMART) Project Office in China Lake, California, is one of the original members of the team since its inception and has provided clear leadership in the development of the Guide. He has served as both a representative of the acquisition community and the U.S. Navy. The SMART process was used as a baseline study from which the generic VV&A process and the methodology described herein were developed. The primary author of Chapter 1, Dr. Muessig also contributed significantly to the other chapters and to the discussions which guided the development of the Guide in its conceptual stage.

Similarly, the Guide reflects the invaluable wisdom and guidance of Mr. James Sikora, Senior Vice President and General Manager of BDM Management Services Company in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Mr. Sikora is well known for his co-chairmanship of the Simulation Validation series of workshops under the Military Operations Research Society (MORS). It was the initial workshop under this series that first formulated the definitions for VV&A that were later adopted by DoD and are in use today. Mr. Sikora's representation of the analytic community and his long-term close association with the U.S. Air Force's Operational Test and Evaluation Command (AFOTEC) ensured that the Guide met the requirements of these communities as well. Mr. Sikora authored Chapters 5 and 6 and provided sage overall perspective to the team in its development of the other chapters.

A third key player in the development of the Guide was Ms. Simone Youngblood of Illgen Simulation Technologies, Inc. Ms. Youngblood has served as the Chair of the VV&A sub-working group in the DIS Workshop for many years and is widely recognized as the leading author of the DIS Nine-Step VV&A Process. Serving as both a DIS community representative and that of industry, Ms. Youngblood authored Chapter 3.

Dr. Osman Balci, Associate Professor of Computer Science at Virginia Polytechnic University, is a well-known and widely respected author of numerous treatises on software verification, validation, and testing. As the team's academic representative, he provided a significantly new and different perspective and ensured much re-thinking of the team's objectives and solutions. Dr. Balci shared his extensive background in his writing of Chapters 2 and 4.

Ms. Susan Solick of the U.S. Army's TRADOC Analysis Command located at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, and Dr. Ernie Page of MITRE Corporation were key contributors to the development of Chapter 3 and the descriptions of the DIS and Aggregate Level Simulation Protocol (ALSP) processes. Ms. Solick has worked with the DIS community for the past 3 years and served as the team's U.S. Army representative. Dr. Page is the a leading member of the VV&A Technical Staff for the ALSP Joint Training Confederation.

The contributions of earlier members of the Technical Support Team and leaders in the VV&A community are also noted. Ms. Pam Blechinger served on the Technical Support Team in its first year and was an active participant in the discussions which resulted in the initial draft of the Guide. Mr. Robert Lewis of Quality Research, Inc. of Huntsville, Alabama was a leading source of VV&A theory and is the author of a tailoring and costing study which has served as the basis for that work in this Guide. Mr. Chuck Winget of Illgen Simulation Technologies, Inc. was also a frequent contributor and provided a valuable assessment of Mr. Lewis' costing model.

## Making the Best Use of This Document

The Department of Defense (DoD) Verification, Validation, and Accreditation (VV&A) *Recommended Practices Guide* is written for use by all developers and users of Modeling and Simulation (M&S) in DoD. This general audience is divided into three loosely defined "groups"—decision makers, program managers, and technical staff—a distinction that merely serves to define different levels of involvement in the VV&A process.

#### The Chapters in Brief

All readers will be interested in the Chapter 1 overview, particularly those sections dealing with the benefits of doing VV&A and tailoring it to contain costs.

Chapter 2 discusses basic principles of VV&A and provides amplification of the major points contained in Chapter 1.

Chapter 3 introduces a generic VV&A process and discusses its relationship to various types of M&S applications, including the High-Level Architecture (HLA). This chapter will be of particular interest to program managers who must integrate VV&A into their overall programs.

Chapter 4 is the technical meat of the guide, offering technical staff a host of fundamentals and techniques for performing VV&A and helping readers determine which techniques are most useful for specific types of M&S application. This section will be greatly expanded as programs mature and case studies become available.

Chapter 5 discusses the accreditation process and the work that must be done to reach a sound decision about the suitability of M&S for particular applications. It is an excellent chapter to guide the decision maker on how to plan for and implement the accreditation process and on how to integrate V&V into the decision.

Finally, Chapter 6 introduces common reporting formats for the reports that should document any VV&A effort. Although each Branch of Service may prescribe the reports it requires, this chapter provides formats that meet the common needs of all Services and thus are particularly useful when M&S is applied to a Joint requirement.

#### **Recommended Reading for Specific Needs**

Chapters 1 and 5 are recommended for decision makers who need a quick overview of VV&A and information on the accreditation process.

Program managers are referred to Chapters 1, 2, 3, and 5. Again, a quick overview of what VV&A is all about is a necessary introduction. Program managers will also be interested in the principles and processes of VV&A as they incorporate these into their programs. Finally, Chapter 5 is important to assist program managers in preparing senior decision makers for the accreditation decision.

The technical staff whose job is to do the actual V&V should read the entire document. In addition to the chapters noted above, Chapter 4 will give these users valuable guidance on specific techniques that are used in V&V, and Chapter 6 will provide common reporting formats to help them document the VV&A effort.